

# Proof of Menger's theorem

Algo Telecoms - Jean-Claude Bermond

We give here a proof of Menger's theorem (see 7.45 in the chapter 7 of the book of Kleinberg Tardos) which is a direct adaptation of the flow algorithm of Ford and Fulkerson (see section 7.2) but with integer capacities = 1.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $G$  be a digraph and  $s$  and  $t$  two vertices. The maximum number of pairwise arc disjoint paths from  $s$  to  $t$  is equal to the minimum number of arcs whose removal separates  $s$  from  $t$  (= destroy all the paths from  $s$  to  $t$ )*

Easy part : If there exist  $k$  pairwise arc disjoint paths from  $s$  to  $t$ , then one needs to delete at least one arc in each of these paths to separate  $s$  from  $t$ . So the minimum number of arcs whose removal separates  $s$  from  $t$  is greater than or equal to the maximum number of pairwise arc disjoint paths from  $s$  to  $t$  (equivalent to property (7.8) pp 347-348).

To prove the converse we will use a recursive algorithmic approach ("augmenting flow") as follows. Suppose we have found a set of  $k$  pairwise arc disjoint paths from  $s$  to  $t$ , then we will either construct a set of  $k + 1$  pairwise arc disjoint paths from  $s$  to  $t$  or find a set of  $k$  arcs whose removal separates  $s$  from  $t$ . The induction can be started with  $k = 0$  or  $k = 1$  by finding a path from  $s$  to  $t$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a set of  $k$  pairwise arc disjoint paths from  $s$  to  $t$ ,  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k\}$ . Let us construct a set  $S$  of vertices as follows

Algorithm to construct  $S$

- (i) Put  $s$  in  $S$ .
- (ii) If  $x \in S$  and if there exists an arc  $(x, y)$  such that  $(x, y) \notin \mathcal{P}$  (i.e.  $(x, y)$  is in none of the paths  $P_i$ ); then put  $y$  in  $S$ .
- (iii) If  $x \in S$  and if there exists an arc  $(y, x)$  such that  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{P}$ , then put  $y$  in  $S$ .

[(ii) corresponds to push one unit of flow forwards and (iii) backwards (see page 341)].

Two cases can appear at the end of the algorithm.

Case A :  $t \in S$ . In that case we will construct a set of  $k + 1$  pairwise arc disjoint paths from  $s$  to  $t$ .

Then let  $P_{k+1} : s = x_0, e_1, x_1, \dots, x_j, e_{j+1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, e_p, x_p = t$  be a chain (not directed) from  $s$  to  $t$  where  $e_{j+1}$  is either an arc from  $x_j$  to  $x_{j+1}$  and so  $e_{j+1} \notin \mathcal{P}$ , called of type 1 or an arc from  $x_{j+1}$  to  $x_j$  and so  $e_{j+1} \in \mathcal{P}$ , called of type 2. [That corresponds to an augmenting dipath in the residual graph; arcs of type 1 are forward edges and arcs of type 2 are backward edges see definition in chapter 7 pp 341-42].

If  $P_{k+1}$  does not contain an arc of type 2, then the set of paths  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k, P_{k+1}$  is a set of  $k+1$  pairwise arc disjoint paths from  $s$  to  $t$ .

Otherwise starting from the set of  $k+1$  paths (not pairwise arc disjoint)  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k, P_{k+1}$  we will construct a set of  $k+1$  paths having one arc of type 2 less. Repeating this construction we get after  $p$  steps (if  $p$  is the number of arcs of type 2) a set of  $k+1$  pairwise arc disjoint paths from  $s$  to  $t$ .

Let  $e_{j+1}$  be the first arc of type 2 from  $x_{j+1}$  to  $x_j$  in  $P_{k+1}$  and suppose  $e_{j+1} \in P_{i_0}$ . Then the set of paths:

$$P'_i = P_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \neq i_0 \leq k$$

$$P'_{i_0} = P_{k+1}[s, x_j] \cup P_{i_0}[x_j, t]$$

$$P'_{k+1} = P_{i_0}[s, x_{j+1}] \cup P_{k+1}[x_{j+1}, t]$$

has one arc of type 2 less than  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k, P_{k+1}$  as wanted.

Case B :  $t \notin S$ . In that case we will find a set of  $k$  arcs whose removal separates  $s$  from  $t$ . (equivalent of (7.9) in chapter 7 pp 348-349)

Let  $T = V - S$ . The set of arcs from  $S$  to  $T$  (arcs  $(x, y)$  with  $x \in S$  and  $y \notin S$ ) separates  $S$  from  $T$  and so  $s$  from  $t$ . Each arc from  $S$  to  $T$  belongs to  $\mathcal{P}$ ; otherwise we could have applied step (ii) of the algorithm and so the algorithm was not finished. Furthermore, a path  $P_i$  cannot contain two arcs from  $S$  to  $T$ , otherwise there will exist an arc  $(y, x)$  from  $T$  to  $S$  with  $y \in T$  and  $x \in S$  and this arc should have been added to the set  $S$  by the step (iii) of the algorithm. So we have at most  $k$  arcs from  $S$  to  $T$  and the removal of these  $k$  arcs separates  $s$  from  $t$ .

$[(S, T)$  is a minimum cut equal to the flow].